

A Compact Homogeneous S-space*

Ramiro de la Vega[†] and Kenneth Kunen[‡]

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Under the continuum hypothesis, there is a compact homogeneous strong S-space.

1 Introduction

A space X is *hereditarily separable* (HS) iff every subspace is separable. An S -space is a regular Hausdorff HS space with a non-Lindelöf subspace. A space X is *homogeneous* iff for every $x, y \in X$ there is a homeomorphism f of X onto X with $f(x) = y$. Under CH , several examples of S -spaces have been constructed, including topological groups (see [4]) and compact S -spaces (see [7]). It is asked in [1, 5] whether there are compact homogeneous S -spaces. As we shall show in Theorem 4.2, there are under CH . This cannot be done in ZFC , since there are no compact S -spaces under $MA + \neg CH$ (see [12]); there are no S -spaces at all under PFA (see [13]).

In Section 2, we use a slightly modified version of the construction in [7, 10] to refine the topology of any given second countable space, and turn it into a first countable *strong S-space* (i.e., each of its finite powers is an S -space). In Section 3, we show that if the original space is compact, then there is a natural compactification of the new space which is also a first countable strong S-space. If in addition the original space is zero-dimensional, then the ω^{th} power of this compactification will be homogeneous by Motorov [9], proving Theorem 4.2.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54G20, 54D30. Key Words and Phrases: Compact group, pointwise topology.

[†]University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, U.S.A., delavega@math.wisc.edu

[‡]University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, U.S.A., kunen@math.wisc.edu; partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0097881.

2 A Strong S-Space

If τ is a topology on X , we write τ^I for the corresponding product topology on X^I ; likewise if $\tau' \subseteq \tau$ is a base we write $(\tau')^I$ for the natural corresponding base for τ^I . If $E \subseteq X$, then $\text{cl}(E, \tau)$ denotes the closure of E with respect to the topology τ . This notation will be used when we are discussing two different topologies on the same set X .

The following two lemmas are well-known; the second is Lemma 7.2 in [10]:

Lemma 2.1 *If X is HS and Y is second countable, then $X \times Y$ is HS*

Lemma 2.2 *X^ω is HS iff X^n is HS for all $n < \omega$.*

The next lemma, an easy exercise, is used in the proof of Theorem 2.4:

Lemma 2.3 *If $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and $S \subseteq X \times Y$, then $(x, y) \in \text{cl}(S)$ iff $y \in \text{cl}(\pi(S \cap (U \times Y)))$ for all neighborhoods U of x , where $\pi : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is projection.*

The following is proved (essentially) in [10], but our proof below may be a bit simpler:

Theorem 2.4 *Assume CH. Let ρ be a second countable T_3 topology on X , where $|X| = \aleph_1$. Then there is a finer topology τ on X such that (ω_1, τ) is a first countable locally compact strong S-space.*

Proof. WLOG, $X = \omega_1$. For $\eta < \omega_1$ we write ρ_η for the topology of η as a subspace of (ω_1, ρ) . Applying CH, list $\bigcup_{0 < n < \omega} [(\omega_1)^n]^{\leq \omega}$ as $\{S_\mu : \mu \in \omega_1\}$, so that each $S_\mu \subseteq \mu^{n(\mu)}$ for some $n(\mu)$ with $0 < n(\mu) < \omega$.

For $\eta \leq \omega_1$ we construct τ_η a topology on η by induction on η so as to make the following hold for all $\xi < \eta \leq \omega_1$:

1. $\tau_\xi = \tau_\eta \cap \mathcal{P}(\xi)$.
2. τ_η is first countable, locally compact, and T_3 .
3. $\tau_\eta \supseteq \rho_\eta$.

Note that (1) implies in particular that $\xi \in \tau_\eta$; that is, ξ is open. Thus, if $\tau = \tau_{\omega_1}$, then (ω_1, τ) is not Lindelöf. Also by (1), τ_η for limit η is determined from the τ_ξ for $\xi < \eta$. So, we need only specify what happens at successor ordinals.

For $n \geq 1$ and $\xi < \omega_1$, let $Iseq(n, \xi)$ be the set of all $f \in (\omega_1)^n$ which satisfy $f(0) < f(1) < \dots < f(n-1) = \xi$. The following condition states our requirement on $\tau_{\xi+1}$:

4. For each $\mu < \xi$ and each $f \in Iseq(n, \xi)$, where $n = n_\mu$:

$$f \in \text{cl}(S_\mu, (\tau_{\xi+1})^{n-1} \times \rho) \implies f \in \text{cl}(S_\mu, (\tau_{\xi+1})^n) .$$

If $n = n_\mu = 1$, then $(\tau_{\xi+1})^{n-1} \times \rho$ just denotes ρ . That is, (4) requires

$$\xi \in \text{cl}(E, \rho) \implies \xi \in \text{cl}(E, \tau_{\xi+1}) \quad (*)$$

for all E in the countable family $\{S_\mu : \mu < \xi \text{ \& } n(\mu) = 1\}$. It is standard (see [7]) that one may define $\tau_{\xi+1}$ so that this holds. Now, consider (4) in the case $n = n_\mu \geq 2$. By (2), τ_ξ is second countable, so let τ'_ξ be a countable base for τ_ξ . Applying Lemma 2.3, (4) will hold if whenever $U = U_0 \times \dots \times U_{n-2} \in (\tau'_\xi)^{n-1}$ is a neighborhood of $f \upharpoonright (n-1)$,

$$\xi \in \text{cl}(\pi(S_\mu \cap (U \times (\xi+1))), \rho) \implies \xi \in \text{cl}(\pi(S_\mu \cap (U \times (\xi+1))), \tau_{\xi+1}) ,$$

where $\pi : \xi^{n-1} \times (\xi+1) \rightarrow (\xi+1)$ is projection. But this is just a requirement of the form $(*)$ for countably many more sets E , so again there is no problem meeting it.

Now, we need to show that τ^n is *HS* for each $0 < n < \omega$. We proceed by induction, so assume that τ^m is *HS* for all $m < n$. Fix $A \subseteq (\omega_1)^n$; we need to show that A is τ^n -separable. Applying the induction hypothesis, we may assume that each $f \in A$ has all coordinates distinct. Also, since permutation of coordinates induces a homeomorphism of $(\omega_1)^n$, we may assume that each $f \in A$ is strictly increasing; that is, $f \in Iseq(n, \xi)$, where $\xi = f(n-1)$. By the induction hypothesis and Lemma 2.1, A is separable in $(\tau_{\xi+1})^{n-1} \times \rho$. We can then fix μ such that $n(\mu) = n$, $S_\mu \subseteq A$, and S_μ is $(\tau_{\xi+1})^{n-1} \times \rho$ -dense in A . Now, say $f \in A$ with $\xi = f(n-1) > \mu$. Applying (4), we have $f \in \text{cl}(S_\mu, \tau^n)$. Thus, $A \setminus \text{cl}(S_\mu, \tau^n)$ is countable, so A is τ^n -separable. ♠

3 Compactification

Definition 3.1 If φ is a continuous map from the T_2 space Y into X , then $Y \dot{\cup}_{\varphi} X$ denotes the disjoint union of X and Y , given the topology which has as a base:

- a. All open subsets of Y , together with
- b. All $[U, K] := U \cup (\varphi^{-1}U \setminus K)$, where U is open in X and K is compact in Y .

Our main interest here is in the case where X is compact and Y is locally compact. Then, if $|X| = 1$, we have the 1-point compactification of Y , and if Y is discrete and φ is a bijection we have the Aleksandrov duplicate of X .

Lemma 3.2 Let $Z = Y \dot{\cup}_{\varphi} X$, with X, Y Hausdorff:

- 1. X is closed in Z , Y is open in Z , and both X, Y inherit their original topology as subspaces of Z .
- 2. If Y is locally compact, then Z is Hausdorff.
- 3. If X is compact, then Z is compact.
- 4. If X, Y are first countable, X is compact, Y is locally compact, and each $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ is compact, then Z is first countable.
- 5. If X, Y are zero dimensional, X is compact, and Y is locally compact, then Z is zero dimensional.
- 6. If X is second countable and Y^{ω} is *HS*, then Z^{ω} is *HS*.

Proof. For (3): If $\mathcal{U}$ is a basic open cover of Z , then there are $n \in \omega$ and $[U_i, K_i] \in \mathcal{U}$ for $i < n$ such that $\bigcup_{i < n} U_i = X$. Thus, $\bigcup_{i < n} [U_i, K_i]$ contains all points of Z except for (possibly) the points in the compact set $\bigcup_{i < n} K_i \subseteq Y$.

For (4): Z is compact Hausdorff and of countable pseudocharacter.

For (5): Z is compact Hausdorff and totally disconnected.

For (6): By Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to prove that each Z^n is *HS*. But Z^n is a finite union of subspaces of the form $X^j \times Y^k$, which are *HS* by Lemma 2.1. ♠

4 Homogeneity

The following was proved by Dow and Pearl [3]:

Theorem 4.1 *If Z is first countable and zero dimensional, then Z^ω is homogeneous.*

Actually, we only need here the special case of this result where Z is compact and has a dense set of isolated points; this was announced (without proof) earlier by Motorov [9].

Note that by Šapírovskii [11], any compact HS space must have countable π -weight (see also [6], Theorem 7.14), so if it is also homogeneous, it must have size at most $2^{\aleph_0}$ by van Douwen [2]. Under CH this implies, by the Čech – Pospíšil Theorem, that the space must be first countable.

Theorem 4.2 (CH) *There is a (necessarily first countable) zero-dimensional compact homogeneous strong S -space.*

Proof. Let X be the Cantor set 2^ω with its usual topology, let Y be 2^ω with the topology constructed in Theorem 2.4, let φ be the identity, and let $Z = Y \dot{\cup}_\varphi X$. By Lemma 3.2, Z , and hence also Z^ω , are zero-dimensional first countable compact strong S -spaces; Z^ω is homogeneous by Theorem 4.1. ♠

No compact topological group can be an S -space or an L -space. However under CH there are, by [8], compact L -spaces which are right topological groups (i.e. they admit a group operation such that multiplication on the right by a fixed element defines a continuous map). We do not know whether there can be compact S -spaces which are right topological groups.

References

- [1] A. V. Arkhangel'skiĭ, Topological homogeneity. Topological groups and their continuous images, *Russian Math. Surveys* 42 (1987) 83-131.
- [2] E. K. van Douwen, Nonhomogeneity of products of preimages and π -weight, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 69 (1978) 183-192.
- [3] A. Dow and E. Pearl, Homogeneity in powers of zero-dimensional first-countable spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997) 2503-2510.
- [4] A. Hajnal and I. Juhász, A separable normal topological group need not be Lindelöf, *Gen. Top. and App.* 6 (1976) 199-205
- [5] K. P. Hart, Review of [8], *Mathematical Reviews*, 2003a:54040.
- [6] R. Hodel, Cardinal functions, I, in *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, North-Holland, 1984, pp. 1-61.
- [7] I. Juhász, K. Kunen and M.E. Rudin, Two more hereditarily separable non-Lindelöf spaces, *Can. J. Math.* 28 (1976) 998-1005.
- [8] K. Kunen, Compact L-spaces and right topological groups, *Top. Proc.* 24 (1999) 295-327.
- [9] D.B. Motorov, Zero-dimensional and linearly ordered bicomacta: properties of homogeneity type, *Russian Math. Surveys* 44 (1989) 190-191.
- [10] S. Negrepointis, Banach spaces and topology, in *Handbook of set-theoretic topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 1045-1142.
- [11] B. Šapirovskiĭ, π -character and π -weight in bicomacta, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 16 (1975) 999-1004.
- [12] Z. Szentmiklóssy, S-spaces and L-spaces under Martin's axiom, *Topology, Vol. II, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* 23, North-Holland, 1980, pp. 1139-1145.
- [13] S. Todorčević, *Partition Problems in Topology*, Contemporary Mathematics, 84, American Mathematical Society, 1989.